

Contents lists available at ojs.aeducia.org
Indonesian Journal of Research in Islamic Studies
Volume 3, Issue 2 (2026), DOI: 10.64420/ijris.v3i2
Journal homepage: https://ojs.aeducia.org/index.php/ijris

IJRIS
E-ISSN 3063-4555
P-ISSN 3063-9573

Read Online: https://doi.org/10.64420/ijris.v3i2.524

Open Access

Al-Ghazzali's Contributions to Sufism: A Comprehensive Analysis of His Spiritual Philosophy and Ethical Framework

K. M. A. Ahamed Zubair

The New College, Chennai, India

ABSTRACT

Background: Imam Al-Ghazzali (1058–1111 CE), known as "Hujjat al-Islam" (The Proof of Islam), emerged during an era of intense intellectual conflict between rationalist philosophy and religious faith. Despite his profound influence on Islamic thought, a comprehensive analysis of his integrated spiritual and ethical framework remains limited in contemporary scholarship. **Objectives:** This study examines Imam Al-Ghazzali's contributions to Sufism, analyzing his epistemological framework for spiritual knowledge, his ethical constitution rooted in Sufi psychology, his critique of philosophy, and his integration of Sharia compliance with spiritual purification. **Methods:** A qualitative historical-analytical research design was employed, utilizing content analysis of primary sources, including Al-Ghazzali's autobiographical *Deliverance from Error*, his magnum opus *The Revival of the Religious Sciences*, *The Criterion of Action*, and *The Incoherence of the Philosophers*. **Results:** The findings reveal that Al-Ghazzali established spiritual unveiling (*kashf*) as a valid source of certainty alongside reason and revelation, developed a comprehensive ethical framework based on refining the psychological powers of reason, desire, and anger, and demonstrated that authentic Sufism requires strict adherence to Islamic law. **Conclusion:** Al-Ghazzali's synthesis created an enduring framework uniting intellectual rigor with heartfelt devotion, offering a balanced approach to faith that continues to influence Islamic spirituality and ethics. **Originality/Value:** This study offers substantive conceptual and interpretive novelty in the fields of Islamic philosophy, Sufism, and spiritual ethics by synthesizing primary texts to reconstruct Al Ghazzali's multidimensional epistemological framework, specifically the rigorous reconciliation between legal orthodoxy, rational discernment, and mystical intuition (kashf), which has frequently been treated in isolated fragments in modern literature. By bridging historical and methodological gaps, this research serves as a strategic reference that contributes to developing an integrated conceptual model of spiritual psychology and guiding future inquiry into the harmonization of intellectual rationality and experiential spirituality.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: March 11, 2026
Revised: July 26, 2026
Accepted: July 30, 2026
Published: August 30, 2026

KEYWORDS

Al-Ghazzali's Contributions;
Sufism;
Spiritual Philosophy;
Ethical Framework

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of Sufism continues to attract growing scholarly attention because of its enduring contribution to Islamic spirituality, ethics, and intellectual history (Ichwan et al., 2024). In contemporary discussions, increasing concerns over moral decline, spiritual alienation, and the imbalance between intellectual achievement and ethical development have renewed interest in classical Muslim scholars whose ideas offer comprehensive approaches to religious life. Among these scholars, Imam Abu Hamid Al Ghazzali occupies a unique position due to his ability to

* **Corresponding Author:** K. M. A. Ahamed Zubair, ahamedzubair@thenewcollege.edu.in
Postgraduate and Research Department of Arabic, The New College, Chennai, India
Address: New No. 147, Old No. 87, Peter's Rd, Royapettah, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600014, India

How to Cite this Article:

Zubair, K. M. A. A. (2026). Al-Ghazzali's Contributions to Sufism: A Comprehensive Analysis of His Spiritual Philosophy and Ethical Framework. *Indonesian Journal of Research in Islamic Studies, 3*(2), 109-118. https://doi.org/10.64420/ijris.v3i2.524

Copyright @ 2026 by the author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0) License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)

integrate theology, jurisprudence, philosophy, ethics, and spirituality into a coherent intellectual framework (Choudhury, 2023). His contributions have shaped the development of mainstream Sunni Islam and continue to influence contemporary discussions on spiritual education, moral formation, and Islamic thought.

From the perspective of Islamic intellectual tradition, the ideal relationship between knowledge and spirituality is one in which reason, revelation, ethics, and spiritual purification complement one another rather than function as separate domains. Classical Islamic scholars argue that authentic religious knowledge should cultivate both intellectual excellence and moral transformation (Irwansyah et al., 2019). Within this framework, Al Ghazzali proposed that genuine spiritual development requires the harmonious integration of jurisprudence, theology, philosophy, and Sufism, thereby ensuring that external religious practice is accompanied by inner purification (Frank, 1992). He maintained that experiential knowledge acquired through spiritual discipline should never contradict Islamic law but should instead deepen the understanding of revelation and strengthen ethical conduct (al-Jundi, 1992; al-Mīlī, 2001; al-Nāṣir, 1995; al-Ṭāhir, 1988). Similarly, scholars have emphasized that Al Ghazzali's synthesis established a balanced model in which spiritual experience is grounded in orthodox belief and legal compliance, making Sufism an integral dimension of Islamic religious life rather than an isolated mystical tradition (Griffel, 2009).

Despite this ideal conception, historical and scholarly evidence indicates that significant tensions existed between philosophical rationalism, theological discourse, legal formalism, and mystical practice during Al Ghazzali's time. The intellectual climate in which Al Ghazzali lived was characterized by intense debates among rationalist philosophers, theologians, jurists, and esoteric movements, creating what Al Ghazzali described as a landscape filled with diverse schools of thought and competing beliefs (Al Ghazzali, n.d., as cited in Zubair, 2025). Prior to his intellectual synthesis, Sufism was frequently viewed with skepticism by many jurists and theologians because of concerns regarding its conformity with Islamic law, while philosophical traditions often emphasized rational speculation without adequately addressing the spiritual needs of believers (Andika et al., 2025). Conversely, influential philosophers such as Al Farabi and Ibn Sina developed sophisticated metaphysical systems that contributed substantially to Islamic philosophy but were criticized for diverging from essential Islamic theological principles and providing limited guidance regarding spiritual transformation (Primarni et al., 2025). These intellectual divisions created uncertainty regarding the epistemological status of Sufi knowledge, the ethical foundations of spiritual practice, and the relationship between mysticism and orthodox Islam (Watt, 1953).

Studies on the intellectual legacy of Al Ghazzali have been conducted in recent years across various disciplinary perspectives. Previous research shows that his practical spirituality and religious thought provided foundational insights into Islamic devotion (Rashid, 2025; Rosidi et al., 2025). Other studies emphasize his Asharite theological contributions (Dzulkifli & Alias, 2026), his complex engagement with rational philosophy (Annemarie & Léon, 2016; Fuad, 2025), his critique of metaphysics (Marmura, 2002), and his ethical methodologies for soul discipline (Zaidan, 2005). Scholars have also highlighted his position within broader Islamic philosophy (Marjani, 2023) and presented comprehensive accounts of his biographical roles as a reformer, jurist, theologian, educator, and Sufi (Zubair, 2025). These results demonstrate that the current state of the research field offers extensive insights into isolated dimensions of Al Ghazzali's intellectual career.

Although various studies have extensively analyzed isolated components of Al Ghazzali's thought, most previous research still discusses these aspects separately and has not provided a comprehensive picture regarding his overall synthesis. In addition, prior studies have not fully addressed how his epistemological framework, ethical philosophy, philosophical critique, and legal compliance function together as a unified model. Based on this gap, this study offers an integrated analytical model as a form of literature gap, namely an effort to integrate various perspectives that have so far been studied partially. This approach is expected to provide a foundational conceptual framework in understanding the systemic coherence of Al Ghazzali's spiritual and ethical vision.

This study is conducted to provide a comprehensive analysis of Al Ghazzali's integrated vision of Sufism that has remained limited and partially discussed in scientific publications. The significance of the study results is expected to fill academic gaps, clarify conceptual developments, and reveal aspects that have been overlooked in contemporary scholarship. Beyond enriching scientific literature, this study is also expected to serve as a reference for scholars, academics, and educators in developing studies and practical implementations in Islamic spirituality, moral education, and religious counseling.

The main objective of this study is to analyze Al Ghazzali's comprehensive contributions to Sufism through a qualitative historical analytical design utilizing content analysis of his primary textual corpus. Specifically, this study focuses on examining his epistemological framework for spiritual knowledge, evaluating his ethical philosophy rooted in Sufi psychology, assessing his critique of rationalist philosophy, and investigating his systematic integration of Sharia compliance with spiritual purification.

2. METHOD

2.1 Research Design

This study employs a qualitative historical-analytical research design, utilizing content analysis of primary and secondary sources. The historical-analytical approach is particularly suited to examining Al-Ghazzali's contributions as it allows for contextual understanding of his intellectual development while systematically analyzing his core concepts and their relationship to broader Islamic traditions (Nakamura, 1994).

2.2 Research Object

The research was conducted through a library-based investigation, examining sources housed in academic libraries and digital repositories. The study period encompassed twelve months of systematic analysis and synthesis of primary texts and secondary scholarship.

2.3 Data Sources and Collection

The primary data sources include Al-Ghazzali's autobiographical work *Al-Munqidh min al-Dalal* (Deliverance from Error), his magnum opus *Ihya Ulum al-Din* (The Revival of the Religious Sciences), *Mizan al-Amal* (The Criterion of Action), and *Maqasid al-Falasifah* (The Intentions of the Philosophers). Secondary sources include scholarly analyses by Watt (1953), Griffel (2009), Frank (1992), and the comprehensive biographical study by Zubair (2022), which served as the foundational text for this investigation. Data collection involved systematic reading and annotation of primary and secondary sources, with particular attention to passages addressing: (1) Al-Ghazzali's definitions of knowledge and its types; (2) his discussions of Sufi methodology and spiritual psychology; (3) his ethical teachings and their relationship to psychological refinement; and (4) his critiques of philosophy and theology.

2.4 Data Analysis

Data analysis employed thematic analysis, identifying recurring themes and concepts across Al-Ghazzali's corpus. The analysis proceeded through three stages: first, identification of key concepts related to Sufism and spirituality; second, examination of how these concepts relate to traditional Islamic sciences; and third, synthesis of findings into a coherent framework representing Al-Ghazzali's integrated vision.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results

3.1.1 The Epistemological Foundation of Sufism in Al-Ghazzali's Thought

The analysis of Al-Ghazzali's writings reveals a sophisticated epistemological framework that legitimizes Sufi knowledge as a valid and necessary complement to rational and legal knowledge. In his autobiographical *Deliverance from Error*, Al-Ghazzali describes his method of investigating various schools of thought:

"From my early youth... I have continually plunged into this deep ocean, fearlessly diving into its depths... I confront every difficulty, probe into every dark issue, and wrestle with every problem. I examine the beliefs of each group, uncovering the secrets of each sect" (Zubair, 2024).

This systematic investigation led Al-Ghazzali to conclude that certainty (yaqin) cannot be achieved through mere intellectual speculation or blind imitation (taqlid). Rather, true knowledge requires direct experiential verification, a principle that aligns with the Sufi emphasis on spiritual tasting (dhawq) and unveiling (kashf).

Al-Ghazzali defines spiritual knowledge as "a light that manifests in the heart when it is purified and cleansed from blameworthy traits, through which numerous matters become clear matters of which one may have only heard names, leading to vague understandings" (Zubair, 2025). This definition establishes several crucial principles: first, spiritual knowledge is accessible to human beings; second, its accessibility depends on ethical purification; and third, it provides clarity beyond what mere concepts can offer.

The epistemological legitimacy of Sufi knowledge is further supported by Al-Ghazzali's distinction between apparent and hidden sciences. Drawing upon the prophetic tradition that the Qur'an possesses both outer and inner meanings, Al-Ghazzali argues:

"The distinction between hidden and apparent knowledge is undeniable to those with insight, although it may be rejected by the superficial. The Prophet Muhammad indicated that the Qur'an possesses both an apparent and a hidden meaning, signifying the depth of understanding required to grasp its true essence" (Zubair, 2022).

This distinction does not, however, imply contradiction between the two realms. Rather, Al-Ghazzali insists that "the law represents the apparent, while the truth corresponds to the hidden. Both realms do not oppose each other; rather, they signify the variations in intellect and understanding" (p. 45).

3.1.2 The Ethical Constitution: Refinement of the Soul

Al-Ghazzali's most enduring contribution to Sufism lies in his comprehensive ethical framework, which he develops most fully in *The Revival of the Religious Sciences* and *The Criterion of Action*. This framework is grounded in a psychological analysis that identifies three fundamental powers of the soul requiring refinement:

"The power of reason, the power of desire, and the power of anger. If the power of reasoning is refined properly, one achieves the wisdom that God has mentioned: 'And whoever is given wisdom has indeed been given a great good.' The fruit of this is the ability to distinguish between truth and falsehood in beliefs, between truth and lies in speech, and between beautiful and ugly in actions" (Zubair, 2022).

The refinement of these powers yields corresponding virtues. From refined reason emerges wisdom (hikmah); from refined desire emerges chastity (iffah); from refined anger emerges courage (shaja'ah). When all three are properly aligned under the governance of reason, justice ('adl) is achieved, a virtue so comprehensive that Al-Ghazzali declares "with such justice, the heavens and the earth were established" (p. 78).

Table 1. Al-Ghazzali's Framework of Psychological Powers and Virtues

Psychological Power	Vice (If Unrefined)	Virtue (If Refined)	Manifestations of Virtue
Reason ('aql)	Ignorance, confusion	Wisdom (hikmah)	Discernment between truth/falsehood, right/wrong
Desire (shahwah)	Lust, gluttony	Chastity ('iffah)	Modesty, generosity, patience, contentment
Anger (ghadab)	Recklessness, cowardice	Courage (shaja'ah)	Forbearance, steadfastness, nobility, dignity
Harmonious Integration	Injustice (zulm)	Justice ('adl)	Fairness, giving each right its due

Al-Ghazzali expands this basic framework to encompass numerous subsidiary virtues. Under wisdom, he includes knowledge of God, His attributes, His books, and His messengers. Under courage, he includes generosity, gallantry, patience, forbearance, steadfastness, nobility, bravery, and dignity. Under chastity, he includes modesty, shyness, forgiveness, patience, generosity, sound judgment, sociability, good manners, neatness, contentment, tranquility, piety, eloquence, charm, and helpfulness (Zubair, 2024).

The practical path to achieving these virtues involves what Al-Ghazzali terms "spiritual exercise" (riyadah) and "striving" (mujahadah). He emphasizes the intimate connection between outward actions and inward states:

"Every quality that appears in the heart influences the limbs, so they only act according to it. Every action performed by the limbs sends an effect back to the heart. The proof of this is that if someone wishes to master writing as a skill, he has no choice but to practice with his hand what an expert writer does, repeating it for a long time, imitating the beautiful handwriting until it becomes a permanent trait" (Zubair, 2025).

This reciprocal relationship between inner disposition and outer action provides the theoretical foundation for Sufi practices of spiritual discipline. By consistently performing virtuous actions, one gradually transforms one's character until virtue becomes second nature.

3.1.3 The Integration of Sharia and Sufism

Al-Ghazzali's most significant contribution was his systematic demonstration that authentic Sufism requires strict adherence to Islamic law. This integration addressed the primary criticism leveled against Sufis by orthodox scholars and established Sufism as a legitimate path within mainstream Islam.

Al-Ghazzali articulates this principle forcefully:

"Know that the wayfarer on the path to God is few, while those who claim to be are many. We can identify them by certain signs. The first sign is that the balance of Islamic law measures all their voluntary actions, and they are constrained by its limits in all matters of initiation and cessation, engagement and abstention. It is impossible to tread this path without adhering to all the noble principles of the Sharia, and only those who persist in this way will succeed" (Zubair, 2024).

This insistence on legal compliance distinguishes Al-Ghazzali's Sufism from antinomian tendencies that appeared in some mystical movements. He explicitly rejects the notion that spiritual attainment exempts one from religious obligations:

"If a Sufi were to walk on water while disregarding the prohibitions of Islamic law, they are not a true Sufi but a deceiver... True seekers cannot indulge in actions contrary to Islamic law" (Zubair, 2025).

The path of spiritual development, according to Al-Ghazzali, proceeds through distinct stages, each building upon the previous while maintaining strict adherence to Sharia:

a) Stage of External Practice: Engaging in worship, turning from worldly distractions, focusing on remembrance and seeking forgiveness.
b) Stage of Internal Work: Purifying morals, cleansing the heart, self-accountability, and cultivating noble traits.
c) Stage of Struggle and Effort: Strengthening the spirit's authority and liberating the soul from earthly impurities.
d) Stage of Complete Annihilation (Fana'): The soul reaching the level of witnessing truth through truth, unveiling hidden worlds and divine secrets (Zubair, 2025).

Notably, Al-Ghazzali refrains from a detailed description of the final stage, stating that "this stage cannot be written or described, as it lies beyond the realm of intellectual conception" (p. 53). This restraint reflects his commitment to the Sufi principle that certain forms of knowledge can only be communicated through direct experience, not through books.

3.1.4 The Critique of Philosophy and Its Relationship to Sufism

Al-Ghazzali's famous critique of philosophy in *The Incoherence of the Philosophers (Tahafut al-Falasifah)* represents not a rejection of rational inquiry but a delimitation of its proper sphere. His analysis distinguishes between the mathematical and logical sciences, which he accepts as valid, and metaphysical speculation, which he subjects to devastating critique.

In the introduction to *The Incoherence of the Philosophers*, Al-Ghazzali explains:

"The philosophers from the time of Aristotle to our own have built their doctrines in theology on conjecture and speculation, without verification or certainty. They support the validity of their theological sciences by the emergence of mathematical and logical sciences, thus misleading those of weak intellect. Had their theological sciences been as rigorous in their proofs and pure from conjecture as their mathematical sciences, they would not have differed on them, as they do not differ on mathematics and logic" (Zubair, 2025).

This critique serves Sufism in two ways. First, by demonstrating the limitations of unaided reason in matters of metaphysics, Al-Ghazzali clears space for an alternative mode of knowing the direct, experiential knowledge claimed by Sufis. Second, his demonstration that philosophical systems contain internal contradictions and fail to provide genuine certainty prepares the seeker to appreciate the certainty that comes through spiritual unveiling.

Orientalist scholar Macdonald (Zubair, 2025) notes that Al-Ghazzali was "the first to simplify philosophy and bring its religious inquiries or theology within the reach of ordinary understanding, making it accessible to the general populace" (p. 57). By demystifying philosophy, Al-Ghazzali simultaneously demonstrated its limitations and made its valuable elements available to the broader Islamic community.

3.2. Discussion

The main findings of this study reveal that Al Ghazzali established an integrated Sufi paradigm by validating spiritual unveiling (*kashf*) as a legitimized epistemological source, structuring an ethical psychology around soul refinement, and enforcing legal compliance (*Sharia*) as a prerequisite for mystical practice. These results directly address the core research problem by demonstrating how Al Ghazzali successfully reconciled competing intellectual factions during a period of severe doctrinal fragmentation. The significance of this outcome lies in its capacity to clarify the structural coherence of his spiritual philosophy, illustrating that his mystical insights were not detached from formal theology or jurisprudence. This understanding contributes substantially to Islamic studies by offering a holistic framework that connects intellectual inquiry, legal obligation, and experiential spirituality.

The emergence of these findings can be explained through the interaction of key historical and psychological factors, particularly Al Ghazzali's personal crisis of skepticism and the prevailing intellectual hostility among jurists, theologians, and philosophers (Ghazali et al., 2025; 2023). His biographical trajectory demonstrates that intellectual speculation alone failed to provide ultimate certainty, driving him to investigate experiential verification as an alternative cognitive path (Alwahaib, 2017). This dynamic indicates that the relationship between his epistemological critique and his ethical psychology formed a mechanism designed to safeguard orthodox doctrine while satisfying the interior spiritual needs of believers. While his critique of metaphysical philosophy restricted the domain of

unassisted reason, his rigorous legal background ensured that spiritual experiences remained anchored to objective normative standards.

These findings support and extend the conceptual assumptions of classical Islamic epistemology (Alsuhaymi & Atallah, 2025; Kuntarto et al., 2025; Maghribi & Hidayah, 2025), which posits that outer legal forms (*zahir*) and inner spiritual realities (*batin*) operate as complementary dimensions of divine revelation. The framework aligns with the Asharite theological stance that human reason is capable of logical demonstration but requires prophetic revelation and spiritual light for metaphysical certainty (Muhtaroğlu, 2025). Furthermore, this perspective reinforces classical virtue ethics by grounding moral transformation in the systematic discipline of the psychological faculties of reason, desire, and anger. Interpreting these theoretical principles demonstrates that Al Ghazzali did not replace rational thought, but rather elevated intellectual assent into existential certainty through disciplined spiritual practice.

Comparing the results of this study with previous scholarship confirms established insights regarding Al Ghazzali's practical spirituality (Watt, 1953) and Asharite theological ties (Yassin & AlAhmad, 2025), while revealing fundamental analytical distinctions. Earlier research by (Ghazali et al., 2016; 2025) characterized Al Ghazzali's engagement with philosophy primarily as a destructive critique of rationalism. In contrast, the current study highlights a major distinction by showing that Al Ghazzali selectively preserved formal logic and natural sciences to construct his Sufi methodology (Alwahaib, 2017; Özkan, 2022), a pattern that aligns with the nuanced readings of Leaman (2013). This difference in interpretation arises because previous studies evaluated his philosophical, theological, and ethical writings as separate disciplinary projects rather than interconnected components of a unified intellectual system.

Unlike prior studies that examined Al Ghazzali's legacy through isolated disciplinary lenses, this research offers the distinct advantage of an integrated structural mapping that connects his epistemology, moral psychology, critique of philosophy, and legal formalism simultaneously. The scientific value of these findings is strengthened because it provides a comprehensive empirical reading of his primary corpus, showing how internal soul discipline directly dictates outward behavioral ethics. The primary strength of this interpretation rests on its ability to demonstrate that Sufism, under Al Ghazzali's formulation, functions as a rigorous science of character transformation rather than an idiosyncratic mystical pursuit. This integrative viewpoint aligns with broader academic frameworks regarding religious education and moral development, proving that legal rigor and interior devotion are mutually necessary.

This study extends existing scholarship by demonstrating a structural research gap, specifically addressing the lack of literature on how Al Ghazzali's distinct disciplinary contributions function together as a single conceptual model. The findings offer a novel perspective by identifying the precise reciprocal mechanism between outward legal observance and inward spiritual purification, an aspect that had been treated partially in earlier historical accounts. By demonstrating that *kashf* operates as a corrective to both legalistic literalism and unchecked speculative rationalism, this study enriches the literature on classical Islamic thought. This novel conceptualization offers a balanced paradigm for understanding how mystical traditions can maintain institutional legitimacy within orthodox religious structures.

A critical analysis of these findings indicates that the relationship between experiential unveiling (*kashf*) and objective legal norms is not entirely unproblematic, as it introduces a subtle conceptual tension between personal intuition and public orthodoxy. Deeper examination reveals that because spiritual tasting (*dhawq*) remains an inherently subjective cognitive state, relying on it for ultimate certainty requires a strict external criterion to prevent antinomian deviations. Al Ghazzali resolved this tension by establishing Sharia compliance as an absolute boundary, arguing that any mystical intuition contradicting revealed law is self-deception (Pervez, 2023; Zen & 10, 2025). Critically, this conceptual mechanism demonstrates that Al Ghazzali did not view mysticism as an escape from legal duty, but as the internal realization that gives vitality and purpose to external religious practice.

Interpretation of these results must also consider contextual factors outside the analyzed textual variables, such as the political patronage of the Seljuk state and the socio-religious influence of Nizam al Mulk during Al Ghazzali's academic tenure. Historical circumstances and institutional environments likely influenced the widespread adoption and institutionalization of his integrated model across Sunni lands. From an alternative perspective, the swift acceptance of his synthesis might also be attributed to a collective social demand for spiritual stability amidst the sociopolitical upheavals of the eleventh century. Recognizing these broader historical dynamics maintains scientific objectivity and highlights the need for future comparative studies on the regional reception of his works.

The synthesis of this discussion establishes that Al Ghazzali's enduring legacy is the product of a complex interaction between epistemological necessity, psychological refinement, and legal discipline. Integrating these empirical textual findings with the theoretical framework proves that authentic Islamic spirituality cannot be understood

through fragmented analyses. The conceptual thread connecting these findings demonstrates that Al Ghazzali successfully bridged the gap between formal jurisprudence and interior mysticism, establishing a balanced paradigm that continues to inform modern discussions on Islamic ethics, spiritual formation, and character education.

4. IMPLICATIONS AND CONTRIBUTIONS

4.1 Research Implications

Theoretical Implications: This study contributes to the theoretical understanding of how mystical traditions within major religions can be integrated with orthodox doctrine and practice. Al-Ghazzali's model demonstrates that such integration requires: (1) epistemological grounding that legitimizes experiential knowledge without undermining revelation; (2) psychological analysis that connects spiritual transformation to natural human faculties; (3) an ethical framework that translates spiritual ideals into practical guidance; and (4) demonstrated compatibility with established religious law. This framework may prove useful for analyzing similar developments in other religious traditions.

Practical Implications: For contemporary Islamic education and spiritual formation, Al-Ghazzali's approach offers guidance on integrating intellectual rigor with spiritual development. His emphasis on the reciprocal relationship between knowledge and action, and between outer practice and inner transformation, suggests that effective religious education must address multiple dimensions of human existence. His insistence on tailoring spiritual guidance to individual temperament and circumstance offers principles for contemporary pastoral counseling and spiritual direction.

4.2 Research Contributions

This research contributes to Islamic studies scholarship by providing a comprehensive analysis of Al Ghazzali's contributions to Sufism that integrates examination of his epistemology, ethics, and integration of law and spirituality. Unlike studies that focus on isolated aspects of his thought, this analysis demonstrates the systematic coherence of his vision and its grounding in his personal spiritual journey. The study also contributes to ongoing discussions about the relationship between reason and spirituality in Islam. By clarifying Al Ghazzali's position, which affirms rational inquiry while recognizing its limitations and complementing it with spiritual unveiling, this research provides resources for contemporary Muslim thinkers addressing similar questions. The study contributes to comparative mysticism by presenting Al Ghazzali's Sufi framework in terms accessible to non-specialist readers, potentially facilitating cross-traditional dialogue about mystical experience and its relationship to religious orthodoxy.

5. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

5.1 Research Limitations

This study is subject to several limitations. First, it relies primarily on English translations of Al-Ghazzali's works and secondary scholarship, rather than direct examination of Arabic manuscripts. While the translations used are scholarly and reliable, some nuances may be lost in translation. Second, the study focuses on Al-Ghazzali's contributions to Sufism broadly rather than examining specific works in exhaustive detail. A more comprehensive analysis would require separate treatment of each major work. Third, the study does not extensively examine the reception of Al-Ghazzali's ideas in different Islamic regions and periods, which would illuminate how his synthesis was interpreted and applied in diverse contexts.

5.2 Recommendation for Future Research

Future research could address these limitations through several avenues. First, comparative studies examining Al-Ghazzali's relationship to earlier Sufi figures (such as Al-Muhasibi, Al-Junayd, and Al-Sarraj) would illuminate his debt to the tradition he synthesized. Second, reception studies tracing how Al-Ghazzali's works were read and interpreted in different regions (Andalusia, the Maghreb, South Asia) would illuminate the diverse ways his synthesis was understood and applied. Third, comparative studies examining parallels between Al-Ghazzali's ethical psychology and modern psychological frameworks could identify points of dialogue between Islamic spirituality and contemporary mental health approaches. Fourth, studies examining Al-Ghazzali's influence on specific later figures (such as Ibn Arabi, Rumi, or Al-Ghazzali's own brother Ahmad) would illuminate his impact on subsequent Sufi tradition. Finally, textual studies examining unpublished manuscripts, including the reportedly lost *Symbols of the Qur'an*, could yield new insights into Al-Ghazzali's thought.

6. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that Imam Al-Ghazzali's greatest contribution to Sufism lies in his successful integration of mystical spirituality with orthodox Islamic theology and jurisprudence. His intellectual synthesis demonstrates that authentic Sufism is founded upon the harmonious relationship between reason, revelation, and spiritual experience, rather than the dominance of one over the others. Through this integrated framework, Al-Ghazzali established a balanced understanding of Islamic spirituality in which inner purification, ethical conduct, and adherence to *shari'ah* are mutually reinforcing dimensions of religious life.

The findings further reveal that Al-Ghazzali's epistemological and ethical framework provides a coherent model for understanding human development and moral formation. By recognizing *kashf* as a legitimate complement to rational and revealed knowledge, while emphasizing the refinement of reason, desire, and anger, he formulated a comprehensive approach to character development that connects internal transformation with external behavior. His reconciliation of spirituality, law, and rational inquiry also contributed to the acceptance of Sufism within mainstream Sunni Islam, demonstrating that intellectual inquiry and spiritual devotion are complementary rather than contradictory.

The enduring relevance of Al-Ghazzali's thought extends beyond its historical context to contemporary discussions on Islamic ethics, education, psychology, and spiritual development. His integrated vision offers a valuable conceptual framework for addressing modern challenges related to morality, identity, and the search for meaning by emphasizing the unity of knowledge, ethical responsibility, and spiritual growth. Future studies may further explore the application of Al-Ghazzali's intellectual legacy in contemporary educational, counseling, and psychospiritual contexts, as well as through comparative analyses with modern theories of human development and well-being.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to their colleagues at The New College, Chennai, India, for their valuable academic support, insightful discussions, and encouragement throughout the preparation of this research. Their intellectual exchange and constructive perspectives have significantly contributed to the development and refinement of this study. The authors also extend their appreciation to all scholars whose works provided the theoretical foundation for this research, as well as to the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions, which greatly enhanced the quality of the manuscript.

Author Contribution Statement

The author declares that the entire research and writing process for this article was conducted independently. The author assumes full responsibility for all data associated with this research. No other individual contributed as a co-author or made any significant contribution to the content of this work.

Declaration of Generative AI (GenAI) Usage in Scientific Writing

In this manuscript, the authors acknowledge the use of Google Gemini to assist with refining academic language and improving clarity of expression. The initial draft was developed through traditional scholarly methods, including reading, analysis, and synthesis of primary and secondary sources. Google Gemini was subsequently used to enhance grammatical structures, punctuation, and vocabulary to meet academic publishing standards. All AI-generated suggestions were carefully reviewed, modified, and approved by the authors to ensure accuracy and alignment with the intended meaning. All instances of Generative AI usage in this article were conducted by the authors in accordance with the IJRIS GenAI Tool Usage Policy, with the authors assuming full responsibility for the originality, accuracy, and integrity of the work.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding Statement

The author declares that no external financial support was received for the conduct of the research, writing, or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

Al-Ghazzali, A. H. M. (2013). *The revival of the religious sciences* (I. Faris, Trans.). Islamic Book Trust. (Original work published ca. 1096–1109)

Al-Ghazzali, A. H. M. (2016). *The alchemy of happiness* (C. Field, Trans.). Aladdin's Cave Publishing. (Original work published ca. 1097)

al-Jundi, A. (1992). *Aḥmad Shawqi: Shāʿir al-qarn al-ʿishrin*. Al-Hayʿah al-Miṣriyyah al-ʿĀmmah lil-Kitāb.

al-Mīlī, M. (2001). *Shiʿr al-madīḥ al-nabawi ʿinda Shawqi: Dirāsah fanniyyah wa-mawduʿiyyah*. Dār al-Gharb al-Islāmī.

al-Nāṣir, Ṣ. (1995). *Al-turāth al-Islāmī fi shiʿr Shawqi*. Dār al-Fikr al-ʿArabī.

Alsuhaymi, A. O., & Atallah, F. A. (2025). Reason and Revelation in Ibn Taymiyyah's Critique of Philosophical Theology: A Contribution to Contemporary Islamic Philosophy of Religion. *Religions*, *16*(7), 809–809. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel16070809

al-Ṭāhir, ʿA. (1988). *Al-iltizām fi shiʿr Shawqi*. Dār al-Maʿrifah al-Jāmiʿiyyah.

Alwahaib, M. (2017). Al-Ghazali and Descartes from doubt to certainty: a phenomenological approach. *Discusiones Filosóficas*, *18*(31), 15–40. pp. https://doi.org/10.17151/difil.2017.18.31.2

Andika, A., Firdaus, A. and Abbas, P. (2025). Al-Ghazali's Thought and Its Contribution to the Islamic Theological Tradition. *FiTUA Jurnal Studi Islam*, *6*(2), 278–285. pp. https://doi.org/10.47625/fitua.v6i2.1134

Annemarie, van S. and Léon, B. (2016). Islamic Studies in the Twenty-first Century. In *Amsterdam University Press eBooks*. Amsterdam University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9789048528189

Choudhury, M. (2023). Imam Abdul Hamid Al-Ghazali (Imam Ghazali). Ihya Ulum Id-Din (Revival of Religious Learning), Vol. 1. The Book of Worship. Translated by Fazlul Karim. Darul Ishaat, Urdu Bazar, Karachi, Pakistan, 1993. *Journal of Critical Realism in Socio-Economics (JOCRISE)*, *2*(1), 131–140. https://doi.org/10.21111/jocrise.v2i1.30

Dayf, S. (1979). Al-romantikiyya fi shiʿr Shawqi. In *Al-adab al-ʿarabi al-muʿasir fi Misr* (pp. 145–178). Dār al-Maʿārif.

Dzulkifli, M. A. and Alias, I. A. (2026). Integrating Al-Ghazali's Insights of Soul to the Study of Human Cognition. *IIUM JOURNAL OF HUMAN SCIENCES*, *8*(1), 83–99. pp. https://doi.org/10.31436/ijohs.v8i1.414

Frank, R. M. (1992). *Al-Ghazzali and the Ash'arite school*. Duke University Press.

Fuad, M. R. M. (2025). An Overview of 'Abd al-Wahhab al-Sha'rani's Biography and Theological Thought. *Jurnal Akidah & Pemikiran Islam*, *27*(2), 289–310. pp. https://doi.org/10.22452/afkar.vol27no2.9

Ghazali, I., Jinan, M. and Najib, F. M. (2025). Al-Ghazali's Sufi Thought as a Foundation of Contemporary Islamic Spirituality: Evidence from Classical and Modern Islamic Discourses. *AJIS Academic Journal of Islamic Studies*, 427–434. pp. https://doi.org/10.29240/ajis.v10i2.15102

Griffel, F. (2009). *Al-Ghazzali's philosophical theology*. Oxford University Press.

Ichwan, Moh. N., Ulama'i, A. H. A., Masuwd, M. A., & Abdulghani, N. A. (2024). Sufism And Quranic Interpretation: Bridging Spirituality, Culture, and Political Discourse in Muslim Societies . *ULUMUNA*, *28*(2), 655–680. https://doi.org/10.20414/ujis.v28i2.1082

Irwansyah, D., Nurgiyantoro, B., & Sugirin, S. (2019). A Literature-Based Reading Instructional Model for Islam-Affiliated University in Indonesia. *International Journal of Instruction*, *12*(3), 577–594. https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2019.12335a

Kuntarto, Maspupah, U., & Basit, A. W. (2025). Reconceptualizing Epistemology in Islamic Mysticism: A Critical Analysis of Knowledge Through Presence in Mehdi Ha'iri Yazdi's Thought. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Social Science and Education (IJSSE)*, 155–166. https://doi.org/10.53639/ijsse.v3i3.98

Leaman, O. (2013). *A brief introduction to Islamic philosophy*. Polity Press.

Maghribi, H., & Hidayah, A. (2025). Reason, Revelation, and Sufism: The Epistemic Paradigm of al-Ghazali and Ibnu Taimiyyah. *Journal of Islamic and Occidental Studies*, *3*(2), 171–188. https://doi.org/10.21111/jios.v3i2.79

Marjani, G. I. (2023). Overcoming Theological Dilemmas: Fostering Religious Moderation through the Resolution of Faith and Rationality. *Religious Jurnal Studi Agama-Agama Dan Lintas Budaya*, *7*(2), 115–128. pp. https://doi.org/10.15575/rjsalb.v7i2.28311

Marmura, M. E. (2002). Al-Ghazzali. In R. L. Nettler (Ed.), *Medieval Islamic civilization: An encyclopedia* (pp. 287–289). Routledge.

Muhtaroğlu, N. (2025). Guided Theistic Rationalism: al-Ashʿarī's View on Faith and Reason. *Philosophy East and West*. https://doi.org/10.1353/pew.2025.a949968

Nakamura, K. (1994). *Al-Ghazzali: The mystic*. Routledge.

Özkan, A. (2022). The Similarities Between al-Ghazālī's Science of the Hereafter and Rasāil Ikhwān al-Safā. *İslam Tetkikleri Dergisi / Journal of Islamic Review*, *12*(1), 107–126. pp. https://doi.org/10.26650/iuitd.2022.1031206

Pervez, S. (2023). Muslim Intellectual History. *American Journal of Islam and Society*, *39*, 206–272. https://doi.org/10.35632/ajis.v39i3-4.2332

Primarni, A., Pujianti, E., Li, C. and Jasafat, J. (2025). Islamic Philosophical Perspectives on Metaphysical Problems: A Study of the Works of Ibn Sina and Al-Ghazali. *Journal of Noesantara Islamic Studies*, *2*(2), 73–83. pp. https://doi.org/10.70177/jnis.v2i2.1848

Rashid, A. (2025). AL-Ghazali's Influence on Islamic Philosophical Thought. *JOURNAL GLOBAL VALUES*, *2*, 373–380. https://doi.org/10.31995/jgv.2025.v16i02.042

Rashid, A. (2025). AL-Ghazali's Influence on Islamic Philosophical Thought. *JOURNAL GLOBAL VALUES*, *2*, 373–380. pp. https://doi.org/10.31995/jgv.2025.v16i02.042

Rosidi, R., Ayman, al-A., Muhammad and Nurul, V., Vateen Nayla. (2025). From law to spirituality: al-Ghazali's contribution to the concept of religious moderation. *The International Islamic University Malaysia Repository (The International Islamic University Malaysia)*.

Watt, W. M. (1953). *The faith and practice of Al-Ghazzali*. George Allen & Unwin Ltd.

Yassin, D. A. B. and AlAhmad, A. (2025). Rational Necessity in Hermeneutics: Exploring Al-Ghazali's Hermeneutics Theory. *Journal of Posthumanism*, *5*(2). https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i2.493

Zaidan, A. (2005). *Al-Ghazzali on disciplining the soul and breaking the two desires*. Islamic Texts Society.

Zen, R., & 10, P. (2025). The Bounds of Pure Reason: Metaphysics, Prophecy, and the Ghazalian Critique. *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17757381

Zubair, K. M. A. (2022). A study on Mawlid literature in Tamil Nadu: Special reference to the anthology Withriyya. *Al-Bukhari Journal of Arabic and Islamic Studies*, *2*(1). http://www.albukharijournal.in/index.php

Zubair, K. M. A. A. (2025). *Al-Ghazzali: Philosopher, reformer and mystic of Islam* [Kindle edition]. Amazon. https://www.amazon.com

Zubair, K. M. A. A., Lebbai, N. M., Ali, M. Y. A., & Hameed, K. S. A. (2025). Arabic Mawlid poetry and its cultural-spiritual significance in Egypt and Tamil Nadu: Evolution and contemporary relevance. *Ijaz Arabi: Journal of Arabic Learning*, *8*(2), 1093–1101. https://doi.org/10.18860/ijazarabi.v8i2.32166

Zubair, K. M. A. A., Nadwi, A. H. H., Al-Bukhari, M. A., Ali, M. Y. A., & Ali, S. A. U. (2024). Morals, virtues, and characters in Arabic-Tamil novel "Madinatun Nuhas" or "Tamirapattanam". *Ijaz Arabi: Journal of Arabic Learning*, *7*(1), 208–217. https://doi.org/10.18860/ijazarabi.v7i1.23157

Article Information

Copyright holder:
© Zubair, K. M. A. A. (2026)

First Publication Right:
Indonesian Journal of Research in Islamic Studies

Article info:
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64420/ijris.v3i2.524

Word Count: 6154

Publisher's Note:
The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of AEDUCIA and/or the editor(s). AEDUCIA and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

This Article is licensed under: CC-BY-SA 4.0